PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0551-0037

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Application Number 10/695,726 Filing Date TRANSMITTAL October 28, 2003 BEGEIVED First Named Inventor GENTRAL FAX BENTER FORM Ross S. Dando Art Unit 1763 **Examiner Name** Karla A. Moore (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number MI22-2432 Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC Drawing(s) Fee Transmittal Form Appeal Communication to Board Licensing-related Papers of Appeals and Interferences Fee Allached Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Petition Amendment/Reply Petition to Convert to a Proprietary Information Provisional Application After Final Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Change of Correspondence Address Affidavits/declaration(s) Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify Terminal Disclaimer Extension of Time Request below): Statement of the Substance of the Interview Request for Refund **Express Abandonment Request** CD. Number of CD(s) \_ Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD Certified Copy of Priority Remarks Document(s) Customer No. 021567 NO FEE REQUIRED. Reply to Missing Parts/ However, should it be determined that a fee is due and owing, please charge such fee for any Incomplete Application item(s) checked above, to Deposit Account No. 23-0925. Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Wells St. John P.S Signature Printed name Mark S. Matkin Date Reg. No. 32,268 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents. P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature Date 06 Typed or printed name

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Serial No	
Filing Date	October 28, 2003
Inventor	Ross S. Dando et al.
Assignee	Micron Technology, Inc.
Group Art Unit	1763
Examiner	Karla A. Moore
Attornev's Docket No	MI22-2432
Customer No	021567
Title	Chemical Vapor Deposition Apparatus

## STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

To:

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

VIA FACSIMILE

From: Mark Matkin (Tel. 509-624-4276; Fax 509-838-3424)

Wells St. John P.S.

601 West First Avenue, Suite 1300

Spokane, WA 99201-3828

An interview was conducted between the undersigned and Examiner Moore on April 24, 2006.

Claims 26, 33, 43, 53, 56, and 59 were discussed. With respect to claims 26, 33, and 43, the undersigned emphasized that the applied prior art did not disclose, or suggest, at least a portion of the chamber liner apparatus being selectively movable to fully expose the passageway to the chamber and to fully cover the passageway from the chamber and to form a gas-tight seal between the passageway and the chamber. Subject to further consideration, the Examiner agreed that such was not shown or suggested in the cited Ohkase or Okase references.

With respect to claims 53, 56, and 59 the undersigned emphasized that the applied prior art did not disclose, or suggest, at least a portion of the chamber liner apparatus comprising opposing sidewall sections having inner faces and a base extending from and received between the opposing sidewall inner faces, with such portion including the opposing sidewall sections and the base being selectively movable to fully expose and to fully cover the passageway to the chamber, and regardless of whether a gas tight seal is formed. The Examiner concurred with this assertion, subject to further consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated:

<-1-UG

Mark S Matkin Reg. No. 32,268